TYPIST YOU MAY SEPARATE FORM AT PUBLIORATIONS ABOVE FOR COR-RECTIONS WITHOUT REMOVING SET FROM YOUR A YPEWRETER U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address CUMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231 Seifert Art Unit 125 958,062 MAILED 1 11/09/78 958,062 Zola P. Horovitz, et al., MAILED FEB 1 6.1979 Lawrence S. Levinson E.R. Squibb & Sons, Inc. P.O. Box 4000 Princeton, N.J. 08540 This application has been examined. Responsive to communication filed on This action is made final. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET, TO EXPIRE DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER. AILURE TO RESPOND WITHIN THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE WILL CAUSE THE APPLICATION TO BECOME ABANDONED.
35 U.S.C. 133 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited, form PIO-892. 2. Notice of informal Patent Drawing, PTO-948. 3. Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 PART II SUMMARY OF ACTION pending in the application. Of the above, claims 2. Ctaims 3. Claims \_\_

7. The formal drawings filed on

5. Claims \_\_\_\_\_\_
6. Claims

PART III

SERIAL NUMBER

958,062

125

## NOTIFICATION OF REJECTION(S) AND/OR OBJECTION(S) (35 USC 132)

	CLAIMS	REASONS FOR REJECTION (2)	REFERENCES *	INFORMATION IDENTIFICATION AND COMMENTS (4)
1	1-25	35 USC 103	R_v_S	R teaches the treatment of hypertension by administration of a composition comprising an angiotension-converting-enzyme inhibitor; specifically SQ 20,881, and a diuretic; specifically fruesemide (also known as furosemide). The diffuetic was adminestered in a dosage of 2mg/kg/day. To substitute any of the claimed prolime derivatives for
2				the SQ 20,881 of R would be obvious in view of S's teaching of the kinker claimed proline derivatives as angiotension-converting-enzyme inhibitors, and in view of S's teaching of the equivalence in akikiky activity between the prolines (such as SQ 14,225) and SQ 20,881; note page 443, col. 2, lines 14-23. Determination of the diructic to use (cont. 5, infra.)
3	1 & 4-8	35 USC 112, para. 2		The lack of proportions in these claims renders claims indefinite.
4				
5	with art.	the prolin	ne derivati	ve is deemed to be within the skill of the

5	with	the	proline	derivative	is	deemed	to	be	within	the	skill	of	the
	art.												
									***************************************				

6 References A-C and T-U are cited to show the state of the art											
o References A o and I o are creed to show the state of the art	6	Refernces	A-C and	T-U are	cited to	show	the	state	of	the	art.

\* Capital letters representing references are identified on accompanying Form PTO 46-42. (Formerly PTO-892)
The symbol "v" between letters represents - in view of -.
The symbol "+" or "&" between letters represents - and -.
A slash "/" between letters represents the alternative - or -.

NOTE: Sections 100, 101, 102, 103, and 112 of the Patent Statute (Title 35 of the United States Code) are reproduced on the back of this sheet.

EXAMINER.

H. Seifert

TEL. NO. (703) -557 - 2575

ALBERT T. MEYERS SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP ART UNIT 125

35 U.S.C. 100. Delinitions. When used in this title unless the context other—wise indicates —

(a) The term "invention" means invention or discovery.

- (b) The term "process" means process, art or method, and includes a new use of a known process, machine, manufacture, composition of matter, or material.
- (c) The terms "United States" and "this country" mean the United States of

America, its territories and possessions.

(d) The word "patentee" includes not only the patentee to whom the patent was issued but also the successors in title to the patentee.

35 U.S.C. 101. Inventions patentable. Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

35 U.S.C. 102. Conditions for patentability; novelty and loss of right to patent. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication is this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or

(c) he has abandoned the invention, or

- (d) the invention was first patented or cause to be patented by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States, or (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or (g) before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of Conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

35 U.S.C. 103. Conditions for patentability; non-obvious subject matter. A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

35 U.S.C. 112. Specification. The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention. A claim may be written in independent of dependent form, and if in dependent form, it shall be construed to include all the limitations of the claim incorporated by reference into the dependent claim.

An element in a claim for a combination may be expressed as a means or step for performing a specified function without the recital of structure, material, or acts in support thereof, and such claim shall be construed to cover the corresponding structure, material, or acts described in the specification and equivalents thereof.